

www.communities.gov.uk community, opportunity, prosperity

Interreg IVB North Sea Programme First Level Control Workshop

Second Level Control Audits

Des Mulcahy – UK Group of Auditors Representative 17 March 2011



- First Level Control Before claims are paid, covers all expenditure. The most important level of control.
- Second level control after claims are paid, includes review of 1st level control. Sample of expenditure.

Both of the above are subject to:

- EC DG Regio audit. Sample of expenditure.
- European Court of Auditors review. Sample of expenditure.



Common Error types detected by 2nd level control

- No supporting invoices
- Refundable VAT included in claim
- Same invoice claimed twice by mistake
- Wrong budget line used eg Consultants travel booked to "travel and subsistence" line, whereas this is reserved for staff of the partner organization only – should have been booked to "consultants" line in accordance with programme rules.
- No evidence of travel for staff. Where eg tickets can not be kept some evidence necessary such as email confirmation of travel.
- Invoice received and cheque issuance are not evidence of defrayment. Must be bank statement



Common Error types detected by 2nd level control (cont)

- Timesheets not authorised by managers
- Timesheets missing
- No agreed and defensible methodology for attribution of overheads
- Same cost included as both a direct cost and overhead (eg accountancy costs). Double counting
- Original invoices not available. Only photocopies. EC requires verification against original invoices



Common error types detected by 2nd level control (cont)

- Mileage claim not supported by evidence (eg minutes of meeting at remote venue)
- Sub partners must be named in application form and grant agreement and subsequent changes in name of sub partner must be notified
- Expenditure incurred before the start date in the grant agreement is not permitted.
- Outputs not achieved
- Expenditure not included in the project budget within the project application



DG Regio findings

•First Level Control. 1st level control took place after the payment claims were sent and certified.

•First Level Control and Second level control checks on invoices were performed with mathematical accuracy but not enough emphasis on compliance with public procurement procedures.

•Source DG Regio report on the IIIB NWE programme.



Common Procurement Errors

- Complete non compliance with OJEU (100% ineligible)
- Partial non compliance with OJEU (some advertising allowing other companies in other member states to bid) (25%)
- Non competitive against EC principles of transparency and equal treatment but below EC threshold. "Not adequate degree of advertising" (25%)
- OJEU Follow on contract awarded non-competively without justification such as unforeseen circumstances (100%)
- Discriminatory tender selection criteria. 5-100% depending on seriousness
- Lack of transparency as to reasons for selection of successful contractor (10% -25%)
- reduction is scope of contract not accompanied by proportionate reduction in price (25% of the value of the final scope)



Useful documents

- EC regulations No 1083/2006 Article 56, EC No 1828/2006 Articles 48-53, EC No 1080/2006 Article 7 all deal with ERDF eligibility
- IVA B North Sea Region Fact Sheet 2
- UK National Rules ERDF User Manual Chapter 2 and 17
- EC Sec (2010) 898 Statistical Evaluation of Irregularities 2009

Any questions please?