

# SEStran Ferry Toolkit

## Section 5: Business Plan for a Ferry

This document is part of iTransfer, a North Sea Region Interreg programme project, which is funded by the European Regional Development Fund.

iTransfer (Innovative Transport Solutions for Fjords, Estuaries and Rivers) aims to make ferry transport more freely accessible and sustainable, and encourage more people to travel by water. In areas in the North Sea Region (NSR) there are opportunities to replace existing vehicle routes with passenger ferries as a viable alternative. Travelling by ferry is more sustainable, easier and quicker. It can also provide lifeline services to remote communities.

For more information visit [www.itransferproject.eu](http://www.itransferproject.eu)

# SEStran Ferry Toolkit

## Section 5: Business Plan for a Ferry

### 1. Developing a Business Case

1.1. In developing the business plan for a potential ferry service the following factors should be considered:-

### 2. Patronage

2.1. The potential patronage over the lifetime of the service should be calculated. This can be based on home or user surveys, transport modelling or trials over a short period. Care should be taken to ensure an understanding of the types of passengers likely to be carried, e.g. commuters, business or leisure including seasonal variation, frequency of travel and growth over time.

2.2. A similar understanding should be developed for volumes and types of freight. This is potentially more difficult to acquire and although freight models have been developed, will most likely depend on close contact with potential customers and knowledge of the market.

2.3. These considerations will determine the type, size, speed and frequency of sailing of the vessels required to meet the demand. An understanding should be developed of the elasticity of demand with relation to quality of vessel, speed of journey and frequency in order to maximise potential patronage through designing the service to best meet the needs of the customers.

### 3. Fares

- 3.1. An estimate of fares levels for both passengers and freight should be developed. Consideration should be given to variations by time of travel and by season and whether special fares should be offered for different types of passenger or regular freight customers. In considering fare levels the cost and time associated with alternative travel modes, or competing ferry services should be considered and whether the fares levels will rise or fall over time. Finally consideration should be given to whether the fares will attract any form of public subsidy.
- 3.2. An understanding of elasticity of demand with respect to fares should be developed to develop the most appropriate fare levels designed to maximise demand while delivering a profitable service.
- 3.3. Where relevant, the income from on shore car parking should be considered as part of the overall fares setting exercise.

### 4. Period of the Business Plan

- 4.1. The period over which the business plan should be developed can depend on a number of factors including:-
- The period on any public sector support contract/franchise relative to the service
  - The effective life of key infrastructure e.g. the vessel, pontoons/harbour infrastructure
  - Craneage or other equipment for handling freight
  - Life of on shore buildings
  - The period over which reasonable estimates of market conditions can be made allowing for likely changes.

- The period over which the operator can obtain funding and pay back requirements of lenders.

4.2. This can result in fairly short term analysis if an early return on investment is required or if the relevant support contract/franchise is short term or to a long term analysis if the operator is prepared to take a longer term view on investment. In any event the period is likely to cover a number of years.

## 5. Capital Costs

5.1. The establishment of a new ferry service will invariably require capital investment. This could include:-

- Construction/acquisition costs of vessels
- Port/harbour costs could include:-
  - Pontoons/landing areas
  - Link spans
  - Key side strengthening
  - Passenger waiting/ticketing facilities
  - Loading/unloading plant for freight operations
  - Car parking
  - Lorry and car queuing areas
  - Freight storage areas
  - Warehousing
  - Office accommodation for operations staff
- Depreciation/replacement allowances

5.2. Depending on the detailed negotiations to establish the service some of these capital costs could appear as revenue costs in the form of leases or harbour charges. In any event they will show in the business plan as some

form of annual cost either as a direct cost or a depreciation/replacement allowance.

## 6. Revenue/operating costs

6.1. The annual operating costs of the service should make allowance for the following costs:-

- Vessel lease costs
- Loan charge repayments
- Fuel costs at optimum and most likely operating speed
- Average load factors
- Crew costs allowing for rotation
- Catering costs
- Consumables supply costs
- Harbour charges
- Pilotage charges
- On board maintenance and dry dock charges
- Depreciation
- Marketing/management/administration
- Insurances
- Support for on shore public transport connections
- Staff training

## 7. Tax and Subsidy

7.1. The tax and subsidy regime will depend very much on the country of operation of the service. For example the ability to attract tax allowances for capital invested and allowances for early years non profitable operation can have a significant effect on the overall business plan. In addition the ability to attract public sector support either through operating subsidy or contributions

to capital costs can be significant. Public sector support can be justified on a number of criteria including consideration of whether it is a lifeline service or whether the service produces environmental benefits. These should be built into the annual performance of the service as appropriate to the country of operation's rules.

## 8. Profit and Loss

8.1. The profit and loss of the operation should be calculated on an annual basis to establish operating profit. With a view to establishing whether the project provides added value, the net present value (NPV) taking account of the relevant discount rate to reflect the fact that money in hand today has a higher value than money earned in future rates should be calculated. This discount rate will vary from country to country and from time to time and is separate from considerations of inflation. The NPV of an investment is the difference between net income or returns and investment. A project with a positive NPV is one that provides added value to the business.

8.2. An alternative measure of the financial performance is the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) which is the rate of return at which the NPV equals zero. This can be used to evaluate whether a particular project should be preferred over another different project.

8.3. A template for a commercial business plan for a ferry operation is shown below, which is based on the general principals outlined above and which will guide the preparation of a detailed business plan.

8.4. The following is based on a 12 year period. While public sector investment is generally evaluated over a much longer period, the 12 year analysis reflects the likely life of the vessel and the private sector expectation of returns.

Base Case Appraisal													
	Years												
SUMMARY PROFIT & LOSS ACCOUNT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<i>Assumes Portobello</i>													
<b>REVENUE</b>													
Passenger Ticket Sales													
<b>OPERATING COSTS</b>													
Direct operating costs													
Terminal costs													
Craft leasing costs													
Depreciation													
Marketing/Management/Admin													
Total operating costs													
OPERATING PROFIT/(LOSS) before subsidy													
Revenue Subsidy/Grant Funding													
OPERATING PROFIT/(LOSS) after subsidy													
<b>SUMMARY CASH FLOW</b>													
Operating Profit													
Depreciation													
<b>EBIDA</b>													
Tax													
Capital expenditure - qual													
Capital expenditure - non qual													
Pre financing cash flow													
Discounted cash flow													
<b>Return Calculation</b>													
Discount Rate													
<b>NPV</b>													
<b>IRR</b>													
<b>TAX CALCULATIONS</b>													
EBITDA as above													
Capex													
Cash Balance est													
Capital allowances													
Interest on cash flow at													
Taxable profit (loss)													
Loss utilised													
Taxable profit after loss relief													
<b>Tax at</b>													
Loss brought forward													
Loss utilised													
Loss carried forward													

		Years											
PROFIT & LOSS ACCOUNT	Set-up	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<b>2 craft</b>												
REVENUE													
Passenger Ticket Sales													
CRAFT COSTS													
Depreciation													
Operating Lease													
Craft Maintenance													
Craft Fuel													
Craft Insurance													
Salaries & National Insurance													
<b>Inflationary Increase Assumed</b>	%												
TERMINAL COSTS													
Terminal Staff Salaries													
Terminal Building Costs													
Ports Charges													
Ramp Charges													
Cost of Bus Service Net													
Telephone													
Printing, Stationary, Tickets & Post													
Sundry Staff Expenses													
Professional Fees													
Buildings Depreciation													
ADMINISTRATION & MARKETING													
Marketing													
Administration Staff & Management Recharges													
<b>Total Costs</b>													
<b>OPERATING PROFIT/(LOSS) before subsidy</b>													
Subsidy													
<b>OPERATING PROFIT/(LOSS) after subsidy</b>													

<b>Estimated passengers carried by trip</b>					
<b>Years 1 to 5</b>					
	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>	<b>Year 4</b>	<b>Year 5</b>
Pax journeys per weekday					
Total Mon-Fri					
Weekend/leisure					
Total pax journeys per week					
Average Yield	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Revenue per week	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Revenue per annum</b>					
Passengers per annum					
<b>Ticket Prices, average return fare per day</b>					
Peak return					
Off Peak Return					
Leisure Return					
<b>Increase</b>					
Peak Return					
Off Peak Return					
Leisure Return					



And so on for future years and for weekends.

<b>Capital Costs</b>						
<b>Terminal Capital Costs</b>						
	Cost Estimate		Asset Life		Dep'n pa	
Landing 1						
Landing 2						
Hangar & Facilities						
			£			
Craft 1						
Craft 2						
Terminal Costs						
<b>Craft Estimate Summary</b>				<b>Terminal/Infrastructure Estimate</b>		
Hull				Landing 1		
Engines, Fuel Systems etc				Other Facilities		
Transmission						
Controls				Landing 2		
Flexible Structure				Hangar		
Electronics etc				Groundworks		
Design						£
	£					
Provisional Sums				Local Authority Funding		
Propellors						
Seat Covering						
Aircon						
WiFi						
Life Saving Appliances						
<b>Total cost per craft</b>						
<b>P&amp;L Impact</b>						
Craft Owned (O) or Leased (L)						
	Yr 1	Yr 2 on				
<b>Craft</b>						
Craft total						
<b>15</b> Years depreciation SL						
Lease costs pa						
Lease deposit (refundable at end)						
<b>Infrastructure</b>						
Total Spend						
Less LA Funding						
Net capex						

<b>Projected Craft Operating Costs - Non staff</b>			
<b>Operating Costs</b>			
<b>Warranty</b>		<b>1 yr</b>	<b>1000 hrs</b>
<b>Total Annual Operating Hours</b>			
<b>Engine Maintenance, per engine</b>			
<b>Propellor Maintenance, per prop per hour</b>			
<b>Lub Oil, per hour</b>			
<b>Hull/skirt maintenance first year, per craft</b>			
<b>Fees and Sundry Costs</b>			
<b>Bus Connections</b>			
<b>Insurance</b>			
<b>Fuel Consumption</b>			
<i>Based on trial consumption figures</i>			
<b>Total Fuel consumed, litres pa</b>			
Fuel Cost (current in 33T deliveries)			
<b>Port Charges (estimated)</b>	<b>per pax</b>	<b>£</b>	<b>£</b>
<b>OPERATING COSTS FOR YEAR without depreciation</b>			
Hourly Costs for operating 1 craft without crew		<i>per hr</i>	£

		Proposed Craft Timetable																													
		MONDAY - FRIDAY 25 ROUND TRIPS																													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25					
		Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2					
	Depart																														
	Arrive																														
		Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2					
	Depart																														
	Arrive																														
		SATURDAY - 17 ROUND TRIPS																													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17													
		Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1													
	Depart																														
	Arrive																														
		Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1	Craft 1													
	Depart																														
	Arrive																														
		SUNDAY - 10 ROUND TRIPS																													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																				
	Depart	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2																				
	Arrive																														
	Depart	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2	Craft 2																				
	Arrive																														
		Per day	Per week		Craft 1		Craft 2																								
	Round Trips																														
	Mon-Fri																														
	Saturday																														
	Sunday																														
	Total trips/week																														
	Available seats per round trip																														
	Total available seats/week																														
	Annual available seats																														
				Op hrs per	Days per	Op hrs per wk	Op hrs per vr																								
	Hours @ sea	per weekday																													
		per sat																													
		per sun per weekday																													
	Hours on ramp																														
		per sat																													
		per sun																													
Total operating hours																															

Revised Schedules													
Senior staff & Crew costs & Training													
No. of staff	Payroll	Hours p.w	Pay per hour	Pay per annum	On costs	Cost per employee	Total costs						
	Operations manager		£	£	£	£	£						
	Captain												
	Senior staff												
	cabin crew												
	beachmasters												
	customer support												
							£						
Senior staff training													
		Training payroll	instructor payroll	craft hire	fuel	craft maintenance	relocation	advertising	consultant fees	interview costs	T&S	Total	
	operations manager												
	captain												
	senior staff												
Totals													
Crew Training													
		Fire Brigade	GNC Training	Total		Payroll							
	Cabin Crew												
	Beachmasters												
	Customer support												
Totals													
Engineering costs & training													
No. of staff	Payroll	Hours per week	Per hour	per annum	on costs	cost per employee	Total costs						
	Foreman												
	Fitters												
Totals													
Engineering training													
		Training payroll	Instructor payroll										
	Foreman												
	Fitters												
Other costs													
		First Issue Cost											
	Uniforms & PPE												
	Tool Allowance												
	Totals												

## 9. EU Funding

9.1. If the proposed service is seeking to attract EU funding it will be necessary to prepare a proposal meeting the requirements of the fund concerned. The EU publishes its requirements in relation to various funds in the shape of calls for applications. The calls specify the type of information and justification required and the evaluation criteria used to guide the decision on whether a particular application is successful.

9.2. A good example of this approach is the Motorways of the Sea programme for the North Sea Region and extracts of the most recent call are included below to illustrate the extent and type of information required.

### ***“What information is required in the project proposals?”***

*The language of the project proposals should be **English**. It should be clearly indicated which Member States and/or neighbouring countries will be addressed by this project proposal.*

*The proposals should include at least the information required below, in the following order:*

*i. a summary of the project, including a schedule of investments and costs.*

*ii. an assessment of how it will improve the short sea shipping element of multimodal logistic chains in the North Sea region, including evidence. The project proposal should describe the origins and destination of any new freight flows and potential freight corridors.*

*iii. a description of the hinterland connections (road, rail, inland waterways) from the ports involved. The project proposal should also describe how the project is linked to the TEN-T Network.*

*iv. a detailed technical description of the project, including an indicative timetable of the planned actions and investments.*

*v. a detailed account of the investments in relevant port and hinterland infrastructure and in facilities (for example electronic logistics management systems, facilities to ensure and enhance safety and security, facilities to simplify administrative and customs procedures, facilities for icebreaking and dredging operations).*

*vi. a full financial plan. This plan should include: details of the funding sought under the TEN-T programme, a breakdown of project financing and investments and a clear description of any other funding being applied for (European, National, Regional or Institutional).*

*vii. an assessment of how the investments and actions will contribute to the objectives of the Motorways of the Sea concept within the TEN-T.*

*viii. details on the cargo potential of the connection, including indications of the catchment areas, types of cargo to be attracted, modal shift and external cost reduction. The project proposal should include clear projections, expressed in tonne-kilometres, of the modal shift from road haulage the scheme would generate when the scheme becomes fully operational. Applicants are advised to use the European Commission's Marco Polo modal shift calculator<sup>5</sup> for this purpose. The proposal will also provide details of the project's contribution to congestion reduction in the regions involved.*

*ix. details on the current maritime connections between the ports involved (e.g. sailing schedules, technical information of the vessels deployed, etc). Projections on the expected evolution of the maritime connections between the ports involved, backed up with evidence at the disposal of the consortium members (e.g. market surveys, expression of interests of users, recent service upgrades or expressions of intents to upgrade services, regional trade statistics, traffic forecasts etc)*

*x. an identification of socio-economic cohesion and accessibility benefits. Examples of such benefits could include: improved frequency of services, enhanced route options, time and cost savings.*

*xi. a socio-economic cost-benefit analysis and environmental impact analysis of the project activities. It is strongly recommended that applicants undertake the socioeconomic cost-benefit analysis according to the HEATCO methodology and to the handbook on external cost estimation<sup>6</sup>.*

*xii. a statement, with supporting evidence, why the scheme will not distort the existing market in adjacent or competing corridors and/or ports. The proposal should also describe the scheme's wider benefits, beyond those that will accrue to the consortium members, and the extent to which it adds value to TEN-T programme.*

*xiii. a full description of the proposed management structure of the project. Complete details of all project partners including their role within the project should be included.”*

9.3. Section xi above recommends the use of the HEATCO methodology for socioeconomic cost benefit analysis. The objective of the HEATCO approach is to propose harmonised guidelines for project assessment for trans-national projects in Europe. This includes the provision of a consistent framework for

monetary valuation based on the principles of welfare economics, contributing in the long run to consistency with transport costing. These guidelines have been developed within the EC funded research project HEATCO, based on latest research results on the different aspects of transport project appraisal and on an analysis of existing practice in the EU countries and Switzerland.

9.4. When carrying out a Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA), the following general Principles are recommended in the HEATCO report:

***“ 1. Appraisal as a comparative tool.** To estimate the costs and benefits of a project, two scenarios should be compared: the ‘Do-Something’ scenario, where the project under assessment is realised, and a ‘Do-Minimum’ scenario, which needs to be a realistic base case describing the future development. If there are several project alternatives, one has to create a scenario for each alternative and compare them with the ‘Do minimum case’.*

***2. Decision criteria.** NPV (net present value) should be used to determine whether a project is beneficial or not. In addition, depending on the decision-making context BCR (benefit cost ratio) and RNPSS (ratio of NPV and public sector support) decision rules could be used.*

***3. The project appraisal evaluation period.** A 40 year appraisal period is recommended with residual effects being included, as a default evaluation period. Projects with a shorter lifetime should, however, use their actual length. For the comparison of potential future projects, a common final year should be determined by adding 40 years to the opening year of the last project. (Note; Subsequent publication of the UK Treasury Green Book requires 60 years)*

***4. Treatment of future risk and uncertainty.** For the assessment of (non-probabilistic) uncertainty, a sensitivity analysis or scenario technique is appropriate. If resources and data are available for probabilistic analysis, Monte Carlo simulation analysis can be undertaken.*

**5. Discounting.** *It is recommended to adopt the risk premium-free rate or weighted average of the rates currently used in national transport project appraisals in the countries in which the TEN-T project is to be located. The rates should be weighted with the proportion of total project finance contributed by the country concerned.*

**6. Intra-generational equity issues.** *At minimum, that a “winners and losers” table should be developed, and presented alongside the results of the monetised CBA. Distributional matrices for alternative projects might be created and compared amongst each other. Additionally stakeholder analyses should be undertaken. It is recommended to use local values to assess unit benefit and cost measures.*

**7. Non-market valuation techniques.** *If impacts in transport project appraisals cannot be expressed in market prices, but are potentially significant in the overall appraisal, non-market techniques to estimate monetary values should be considered. The choice of technique used to value individual impacts should be dictated by the type of impact and the nature of the project. However, Willingness to Pay (WTP) measures is preferable to cost-based measures. Values should be validated against existing European estimates.*

**8. Value Transfer.** *Value transfer means the use of economic impact estimates from previous studies to value similar impacts in the present appraisal context. Value transfers can be used when insufficient resources for new primary studies are available.*

**9. Treatment of non-monetised impacts.** *At a minimum, if impacts cannot be expressed in monetary terms, they should be presented in qualitative or quantitative terms in addition to evidence on monetised impacts*

**10. Treatment of indirect socio-economic effects.** *If indirect effects are likely to be significant, an economic model, preferably a Spatially Computable General Equilibrium (SCGE) model, should be used. Qualitative assessment is recommended, if indirect effects cannot be modelled due to limited resources, insufficient availability of data, or lack of appropriate quantitative models or unreliable results.*

**11. Marginal Cost of Public Funds.** A marginal cost of public funds of 1, i.e. not to use any additional cost (shadow price) for public funds should be used. Instead, a cut-off value for the RNPSS of 1.5 should be used when relevant.

**12. Producer Surplus of Transport Providers.** Changes in the producer surplus generated by changed traffic volumes or by the introduction and adjustment of transport pricing regimes should be estimated.

**13. Presentation of results.** As far as possible, impacts should be expressed in both physical and monetary terms. The results of the sensitivity analysis and the non-monetised impacts should be reported together with the central monetised results.”

iTransfer is part funded by the North Sea Region programme, part of the EU Inter-regional (Interreg) initiative. Investing in the future by working together for a sustainable and competitive region, Interreg is financed through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).