



## Discussion on module quality review

**Learning material from Maria Anne Wagtmann** – GW reminded partners not to use learning material that has been developed by MAW, SDU.

**Why a review?** – The review should ensure high standards as set out in NMU idea, deliver a quality that is accepted across all NMU partners, initiate a continuous review process and improvement of material, facilitate mutual recognition of modules and credit, and ensure customer satisfaction as well as learning for the NMU extension and next developments.

Who will review? – With regard to IPR, the internal review will be preferably done by project partners. Industry stakeholders can act as reviewers as well. External specialists could be asked in some cases, if necessary. Regarding IPR, these persons should be very trustworthy. The review process should be of high quality. Reviewers should be mentioned within the modules. The review process will be realised until the end of November 2010. Changes of reviewers will be implemented until March. The internal review will be conducted first, then the external review. AB and GR will reflect on possible external reviewers and will give a feedback until 7 Oct 2010. (AP) The context of the module element has to be explained to external reviewers by the authors.

**Internal reviewers** – Partners agreed upon the following internal reviewers:

Module	Reviewer
Α	TP
В	AJ
С	KC
D	НН
Е	WW
F	GR
G	AB, GR

**What should be reviewed?** – The structure, content, completeness of online material, the quality of used sources and the language should be reviewed.

**Next steps** – The review of material should be finalised until the end of Nov 2010 for each fully developed ME. The changes should be implemented until Feb 2011, so that updated material can be used as of March 2011.